	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 1 of 51


1. PURPOSE

This Advisory Circular (AC) is issued to provide guidance to the aerodrome operators in and aircraft operators on the methodology and procedure to assess the compatibility between aeroplane operations and aerodrome infrastructure and operations when an aerodrome accommodates an aeroplane that exceeds the certificated characteristics of the aerodrome.

2. REFERENCE

- 2.1 Civil Aviation (Aerodrome Design and Operations) Regulations
- 2.2 ICAO Doc 9981 – PANS Aerodrome
- 2.3 Civil Aviation (Operation of Aircraft – Commercial Air Transport) Regulations
- 2.4 Civil Aviation (Radio Navigation Aids) Regulations
- 2.5 Civil Aviation (Aircraft Accident and Incident Investigation) Regulations
- 2.6 Civil Aviation (Aeronautical Information Services) Regulations
- 2.7 Civil Aviation (Safety Management) Regulations
- 2.8 ICAO Doc 9137 - Airport Services Manual Part 1, 2, 6 and 8
- 2.9 ICAO Doc 9157 - Airport Design Manual Part 2 and 3
- 2.10 ICAO Doc 9184 - Airport Planning Manual
- 2.11 ICAO Doc 9476 - Manual of Surface Movement Guidance and Control Systems (SMGCS)
- 2.12 ICAO Doc 9774 - Manual on Certification of Aerodromes
- 2.13 ICAO Doc 9859 - Safety Management Manual (SMM)
- 2.14 ICAO Doc 9870 - Manual on the Prevention of Runway Incursions
- 2.15 ICAO Circular 301 - New Larger Aeroplane — Infringement of the Obstacle Free Zone:
- 2.16 ICAO Circular 305 - Operation of New Larger Aeroplanes at Existing Aerodromes


This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 2 of 51

3. INTRODUCTION

- 3.1 A Compatibility study shall be undertaken by an aerodrome operator to assess the compatibility between aeroplane operations and aerodrome infrastructure and operations when an aerodrome accommodates or plans to accommodate an aeroplane that exceeds the certificated characteristics of the aerodrome.
- 3.2 A compatibility study should be performed collaboratively between affected stakeholders who include the aerodrome operator, the aircraft operator, ground handling agencies as well as the various air navigation service providers (ANSPs), refuellers and Aerodrome Rescue and Fire Fighting Service.
- 3.3 The Civil Aviation (Aerodromes Designs and Operations) Regulations, 2024 prescribe the physical characteristics, obstacle limitation surfaces, requirements applicable to aerodromes as well as certain facilities and technical services to be provided for at aerodromes.
- 3.4 When planning a new aerodrome, or upgrading or replacing an existing aerodrome facility, it is important the aerodrome operator carefully considers the aircraft type that they intend to accommodate at their aerodrome. Not only will this enable the appropriate design of each facility, but it will also potentially avoid future operational limitations.
- 3.5 It is important for an aerodrome and aircraft operator to understand where an aerodrome does not meet the design characteristics for a particular aircraft type, the aircraft operator may still be able to operate at the aerodrome subject to confirmation that they can do so safely. This will require the completion of a compatibility assessment by the aerodrome operator.
- 3.6 A compatibility assessment may not be required if evidence is provided that an aircraft can operate to a lesser aerodrome reference code for runway width in accordance with an aircraft's flight documentation e.g. aircraft certification,

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 3 of 51

aircraft flight manual or supplement or original equipment manufacturer approved documentation.

- 3.7 Other matters related to the possible use of the aerodrome by more demanding aircraft and related applicable approvals are left to appropriate authorities to evaluate and take into account appropriate measures to be implemented as necessary for each particular aerodrome in order to maintain an acceptable level of safety during operations. It is for this reason that aerodrome operator are required to conduct compatibility study when encountering such a situation.

4. PROCEDURE FOR CONDUCTING AERODROME COMPATIBILITY STUDY


4.1 The following steps describe the arrangement, to be appropriately documented, between the aeroplane operator and aerodrome operator for the introduction of an aeroplane type/subtype new to the aerodrome:

- a) the aeroplane operator submits a request to the aerodrome operator to operate an aeroplane type/subtype new to the aerodrome;
- b) the aerodrome operator identifies possible means of accommodating the aeroplane type/subtype including access to movement areas and, if necessary, considers the feasibility and economic viability of upgrading the aerodrome infrastructure; and
- c) the aerodrome operator and aircraft operator discuss the aerodrome operator’s assessment, and whether operations of the aeroplane type/subtype can be accommodated and, if permitted, under what conditions.

4.2 The following procedures shall be included in the aerodrome compatibility study:

- a) identify the aircraft’s physical and operational characteristics;

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 4 of 51

- b) identify the applicable regulatory requirements
- c) establish the adequacy of the aerodrome infrastructure and facilities vis-à-vis the requirements of the new aeroplane;
- d) identify the changes required to the aerodrome;
- e) document the compatibility study; and
- f) perform the required safety assessments identified during the compatibility study.

4.3 A compatibility study may require a review of the obstacle limitation surfaces at an aerodrome.

4.4 For aerodrome operations in low visibility conditions, additional procedures may be implemented in order to safeguard the operation of aircraft


4.5 Additional processes that ensure suitable measures are in place to protect the signal produced by the ground-based radio navigation equipment may be necessary at aerodromes with precision instrument approaches.

5. APPLICABILITY OF INFORMATION FROM THE AERODROME COMPATIBILITY STUDY

5.1 The result of the compatibility study shall enable decisions to be made and should provide:

- a) the aerodrome operator with the necessary information in order to make a decision on allowing the operation of the specific aeroplane at the given aerodrome;
- b) the aerodrome operator with the necessary information in order to make a decision on the changes required to the aerodrome infrastructure and facilities to ensure safe operations at the aerodrome with due consideration to the harmonious future development of the aerodrome; and

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 5 of 51

c) the Authority with the information which is necessary for its safety oversight and the continued monitoring of the conditions specified in the aerodrome certification.

5.2 Each compatibility study is specific to a particular operational context and to a particular type of aeroplane

5.3 Information resulting from the compatibility study that is considered to be of operational significance is published in accordance with Civil Aviation (Aerodromes Design and Operations) Regulations and Civil Aviation (Aeronautical Information Services) Regulations.

6. IMPACT OF AEROPLANE CHARACTERISTICS ON THE AERODROME INFRASTRUCTURE


6.1 Introducing new types of aircraft into existing aerodromes may have an impact on the aerodrome facilities and services, in particular, when the aeroplane characteristics exceed the parameters that were used for planning the aerodrome.

6.2 The parameters used in aerodrome planning are defined in the Civil Aviation (Aerodrome Design and operations) Regulation, which specifies the use of the aerodrome reference code determined in accordance with the characteristics of the aeroplane for which an aerodrome facility is intended.

6.3 The aerodrome reference code provides a starting point for the compatibility study and may not be the sole means used to conduct the analysis and to substantiate the aerodrome operator's decisions and the State's safety oversight actions.

6.4 Consideration of the aeroplane's physical characteristics may influence the aerodrome dimensions, facilities and services in the movement area. These characteristics are:

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 6 of 51

6.4.1 Fuselage length

The fuselage length may have an impact on:

- a) the dimensions of the movement area; taxiway, holding bays and aprons, passenger gates and terminal areas;
- b) the aerodrome category for Rescue and Firefighting services;
- c) ground movement and control e.g. reduced clearance behind a longer aeroplane holding at an apron or a runway or intermediate holding position to permit the passing of another aeroplane; and
- d) clearances at the aircraft stand.

6.4.2 Fuselage width

The fuselage width is used to determine the aerodrome category for RFFS.

6.4.3 Door sill height


The door sill height may have an impact on:

- a) the operational limits of the air bridges;
- b) mobile steps;
- c) catering trucks;
- d) persons with reduced mobility; and
- e) dimensions of the apron.

6.4.4 Aeroplane nose characteristics

The aeroplane nose characteristics may have an impact on the location of the runway-holding position of the aeroplane which should not infringe the OFZ.

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 7 of 51

6.4.5 Tail height

The tail height may have an impact on:

- a) the location of the runway-holding position;
- b) ILS critical and sensitive areas: In addition to the tail height of the critical aeroplane, tail composition, tail position, fuselage height and length can have an effect on ILS critical and sensitive areas;
- c) the dimensions of aeroplane maintenance services;
- d) aeroplane parking position in relation to aerodrome OLS;
- e) runway and parallel taxiway separation distances; and
- f) the clearance of any aerodrome infrastructure or facilities built over stationary or moving aircraft


6.4.6 Wingspan

The wingspan may have an impact on:

- a) Taxiway and taxilane separation distances, including runway and taxiway separation distances;
- b) the dimensions of the OFZ;
- c) the location of the runway-holding position, due to the impact of the wingspan on OFZ dimensions;
- d) the dimensions of aprons and holding bays;
- e) wake turbulence;
- f) gate selection;
- g) aerodrome maintenance services around the aeroplane; and
- h) equipment for disabled aeroplane removal;

In the case of an aeroplane equipped with folding wing tips, its reference code letter may change as a result of the folding or extending of the wing tips. Consideration should be given to the wingspan configuration and resultant operations of the aeroplane at an aerodrome.

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 8 of 51

6.4.7 Wing tip vertical clearance

The wing tip vertical clearance may have an impact on:

- a) taxiway separation distances with height-limited objects;
- b) apron and holding bay clearances with height-limited objects;
- c) aerodrome maintenance services;
- d) airfield signage clearances; and
- e) service road locations.

6.4.8 Cockpit view

The relevant geometric parameters to assess the cockpit view are cockpit height, cockpit cut off angle and the corresponding obscured segment. The cockpit view may have an impact on:


- a) runway visual references (aiming point);
- b) runway sight distance;
- c) taxiing operations on straight and curved sections;
- d) markings and signs on runways, turn pads, taxiways, aprons and holding bays;
- e) lights: in low visibility conditions, the number and spacing of visible lights when taxiing may depend on the cockpit view; and
- f) calibration of PAPI/VASIS (pilot eye height above wheel height on approach).

6.4.9 Distance from the pilot's eye position to the nose landing gear

The design of taxiway curves is based on the cockpit-over-centre-line concept. The distance from the pilot's eye position to the nose landing gear is relevant for:

- a) taxiway fillets (wheel track);
- b) the dimensions of aprons and holding bays; and
- c) the dimensions of turn pads.

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 9 of 51

6.4.10 Landing gear design

The aircraft landing gear design is such that the overall mass of the aeroplane is distributed so that the stresses transferred to the soil through a well-designed pavement are within the bearing capacity of the soil. The landing gear layout also has an effect on the maneuverability of the aeroplane and the aerodrome pavement system.

6.4.11 Outer main gear wheel span

The outer main gear wheel span may have an impact on:

- a) runway width;
- b) the dimensions of turn pads;
- c) taxiway width;
- d) taxiway fillets;
- e) the dimensions of aprons and holding bays; and
- f) the dimension of the OFZ.

6.4.12 Wheelbase


The wheelbase may have an impact on:

- a) the dimensions of turn pads;
- b) taxiway fillets;
- c) the dimensions of aprons and holding bays; and
- d) terminal areas and aeroplane stands.

6.4.13 Gear steering system

The gear steering system may have an impact on the dimensions of turn pads and the dimensions of aprons and holding bays.

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 10 of 51

6.4.14 Maximum aeroplane mass

The maximum mass may have an impact on:

- a) the mass limitation on existing bridges, tunnels, culverts and other structures under runways and taxiways;
- b) disabled aeroplane removal;
- c) wake turbulence; and
- d) arresting systems when provided as an element of kinetic energy.


6.4.15 Landing gear geometry, tire pressure and Aircraft Classification Rating (ACR) values

Landing gear geometry, tire pressure and ACR values may have an impact on the airfield pavement and associated shoulders.

6.4.16 Engine characteristics

- a) The engine characteristics include engine geometry and engine airflow characteristics, which may affect aerodrome infrastructure as well as ground handling of the aeroplane and operations in adjacent areas which are likely to become affected by jet blast.
- b) The engine geometry aspects are:
 - i. the number of engines;
 - ii. the location of engines, span and length;
 - iii. the vertical clearance of engines; and
 - iv. the vertical and horizontal extent of possible jet blast or propeller wash.
- c) The engine airflow characteristics are:
 - i. idle, breakaway and take-off thrust exhaust velocities;
 - ii. thrust reverser fitment and flow patterns; and
 - iii. inlet suction effects at ground level.

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 11 of 51

- d) The engine characteristics may be relevant for the following aerodrome infrastructure and operational aspects:
- i. runway shoulder width and composition, jet blast and ingestion issues during take-off and landing;
 - ii. shoulder width and composition of runway turn pads;
 - iii. taxiway shoulder width and composition, jet blast and ingestion issues during taxiing; iv) bridge width, jet blast under the bridge;
 - iv. the dimensions and location of blast protection fences;
 - v. the location and structural strength of signs;
 - vi. the characteristics of runway and taxiway edge lights;
 - vii. the separation between aircraft and adjacent ground service personnel, vehicles or passengers;
 - viii. the design of engine run-up areas and holding bays;
 - ix. the design and use of functional areas adjacent to the manoeuvring area;
 - x. the design of air bridges; and
 - xi. the location of refueling pits on the aircraft stand.

6.4.17 Maximum passenger- and fuel-carrying capacity

Maximum passenger- and fuel-carrying capacity may have an impact on:


- a) terminal facilities;
- b) fuel storage and distribution;
- c) aerodrome emergency planning;
- d) aerodrome rescue and firefighting; and
- e) air bridge loading configuration.

6.4.18 Flight performance

Flight performance may have an impact on:

- a) runway width;

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 12 of 51


- b) runway length;
- c) the OFZ;
- d) runway/taxiway separation;
- e) wake turbulence;
- f) noise; and
- g) aiming point marking.

6.5 Consideration of the aeroplane’s operational characteristics

6.5.15 In order to adequately assess aerodrome compatibility, aeroplane operational characteristics should be included in the evaluation process. The operational characteristics can include the infrastructure requirements of the aeroplane as well as ground servicing requirements.

6.5.16 The following list of aeroplane ground servicing characteristics and requirements may affect the available aerodrome infrastructure. This list is not exhaustive; additional items may be identified by the stakeholders involved in the compatibility assessment process:

- a) ground power;
- b) passengers embarking and disembarking;
- c) cargo loading and unloading;
- d) fueling;
- e) pushback and towing;
- f) taxiing and marshalling;
- g) aeroplane maintenance;
- h) RFF;
- i) equipment areas;
- j) stand allocation; and
- k) disabled aircraft removal

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 13 of 51

7. PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF AERODROMES

In order to adequately assess the aeroplane's compatibility, aerodrome physical characteristics should be included in the evaluation process. These characteristics are:

7.1 RUNWAYS

7.1.1 Runway length


Runway length is a limiting factor on aeroplane operations and should be assessed in collaboration with the aeroplane operator. Information on aeroplane reference field length can be found in the appendix to this Advisory circular.

Longitudinal slopes can have an effect on aeroplane performance.

7.1.2 Runway width

- a) For a given runway width, factors affecting aeroplane operations include the characteristics, handling qualities and performance demonstrated by the aeroplane. It may be advisable to consider other factors of operational significance in order to have a safety margin for factors such as wet or contaminated runway pavement, crosswind conditions, crab angle approaches to landing, aeroplane controllability during aborted take-off, and engine failure procedures.
- b) The main issue associated with available runway width is the risk of aeroplane damage and fatalities associated with an aeroplane veering off the runway during take-off, rejected take-off or during the landing.
- c) The main causes and accident factors are:
 - for take-off/rejected take-off:**
 - i) aeroplane; (asymmetric spin-up and/or reverse thrust, malfunctioning of control surfaces, hydraulic system, tyres, brakes,

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 14 of 51


nose-gear steering, centre of gravity and powerplant (engine failure, foreign object ingestion));

- ii) temporary surface conditions; (standing water, rubber, FOD, damage to the pavement and runway friction coefficient);
- iii) permanent surface conditions (horizontal and vertical slopes and runway friction characteristic);
- iv) meteorological conditions e.g. heavy rain, crosswind, strong/gusty winds, reduced visibility; and
- v) Human Factors; crew, maintenance, balance and payload security;

for landing:

- i) aeroplane; malfunction of the landing gear, control surfaces, hydraulic system, brakes, tires, nose- gear steering and powerplant (reverse and thrust lever linkage);
- ii) temporary surface conditions; standing water, rubber, FOD, damage to the pavement and applying runway friction coefficient;
- iii) permanent surface conditions; horizontal and vertical slopes and runway friction characteristics;
- iv) prevailing meteorological conditions; heavy rain, crosswind, strong/gusty winds, thunderstorms/wind shear, reduced visibility;
- v) Human Factors i.e. hard landings, crew, maintenance;
- vi) ILS localizer signal quality or interference, where auto land procedures are used;
- vii) any other localizer signal quality or interference of approach aid equipment;
- viii) lack of approach path guidance such as VASIS or PAPI; and
- ix) approach type and speed.


This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 15 of 51

Potential solutions

- d) The lateral runway excursion is linked to specific aeroplane characteristics, performance or handling qualities, or controllability in response to such events as aeroplane mechanical failures, pavement contamination and crosswind conditions. Runway width is not a required specific certification limitation. However, indirectly related is the determination of minimum control speed on the ground and the maximum demonstrated crosswind. These additional factors should be considered as key factors in order to ensure that this kind of hazard is adequately addressed.
- e) Potential solutions can be developed by applying the following measures, alone or in combination with other measures:
 - i) paved inner shoulders of adequate bearing strength to provide an overall width of the runway and its inner shoulders of the recommended runway width according to the reference code;
 - ii) paved or unpaved outer shoulders with adequate bearing strength to provide an overall width of the runway and its shoulder according to the reference code;
 - iii) additional runway centre line guidance and runway edge markings; and
 - iv) increased full runway length FOD inspection, when required or requested.
- f) Aerodrome operators should also take into account the possibility that certain aircraft are not able to make a 180-degree turn on narrower runways. When there is no proper taxiway at the end of the runway, providing a suitable runway turn pad is recommended.
- g) Aerodromes which use embedded or inset runway edge lights should take into account additional consequences such as:
 - i) more frequent cleaning intervals for the embedded lights, as dirt will affect the function more quickly compared to elevated runway edge lights;
 - ii) earlier execution of snow removal operations, as the inset lights are

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------


	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 16 of 51

likely to be affected by snow more quickly; and

- iii) in addition, bi-directional inset lights can facilitate snow removal procedures on a wider range.
- h) Location and specifications for runway signs should be considered due to the increased size of the aeroplane’s wingspan, engine location, as well as the increased thrust rating from the aeroplane’s engines.

7.1.3 Runway shoulders

- a) The runway shoulders should be capable of minimizing any damage to an aeroplane veering off the runway. In some cases, the bearing strength of the natural ground may be sufficient without additional preparation to meet the requirements for shoulders. The prevention of ingestion of objects from jet engines should always be taken into account particularly for the design and construction of the shoulders. In case of specific preparation of the shoulders, visual contrast, such as the use of runway side-stripe markings, between runway and runway shoulders, may be required.
- b) Runway shoulders have three main functions:
 - i) to minimize any damage to an aeroplane running off the runway;
 - ii) to provide jet blast protection and to prevent engine FOD ingestion; and
 - iii) to support ground vehicle traffic, RFFS vehicles and maintenance vehicles.
- c) Potential issues associated with runway shoulder characteristics; width, soil type and bearing strength are:
 - i) aeroplane damage that could occur after excursion onto the runway shoulder due to inadequate bearing capacity;
 - ii) shoulder erosion causing ingestion of foreign objects by jet engines due to unsealed surfaces; consideration should be given to the impact of


	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 17 of 51

- FOD on aeroplane tires and engines as a potentially major hazard; and
- iii) difficulties for RFF services to access a damaged aeroplane on the runway due to inadequate bearing strength.
- d) Factors to be considered are:
- i) runway centre line deviations;
 - ii) powerplant characteristics; engine height, location and power; and
 - iii) soil type and bearing strength; aeroplane mass, tire pressure and gear design.

Potential solutions

- e) Possible solutions can be developed by applying the following measures, alone or in combination with other measures:
 - i) Excursion onto the runway shoulder; provide the suitable shoulder;
 - ii) Jet blast; information about outer engine position, jet blast velocity contour and jet blast directions at take-off is needed to calculate the required width of shoulders that has to be enhanced for protection against jet blast. Lateral deviation from the runway centre line should also be taken into account;
 - iii) Rescue Fire Fighting vehicles; operational experience with aircraft currently operated on existing runways suggests that an overall width of the runway and its shoulders which is compliant with the requirements is adequate to permit intervention on aircraft by occasional RFFS vehicle traffic. However, longer upper-deck escape chutes may reduce the margin between the shoulder edge and the extension of escape slides and reduce the supporting surface available to rescue vehicles; and
 - iv) Additional surface inspections; it may be necessary to adapt the inspection programme for FOD detection.

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 18 of 51


7.1.4 Runway turn pads

- a) Turn pads are generally provided when an exit taxiway is not available at the runway end. A turn pad allows an aeroplane to turn back after landing and before take-off and to position itself correctly on the runway.
- b) For minimizing the risk of a turn pad excursion, the turn pad should be designed sufficiently wide to permit the 180-degree turn of the most demanding aeroplane that will be operated. The design of the turn pad generally assumes a maximum nose landing gear steering angle of 45 degrees, which should be used unless some other condition applies for the particular type of aeroplane and considers clearances between the gears and the turn pad edge, as for a taxiway.
- c) The main causes and accident factors of the aeroplane veering off the turn pad pavement are:
 - i) aeroplane characteristics that are not adequate and aeroplane failure that include; ground manoeuvring capabilities, especially long aircraft, malfunctioning of nose-gear steering, engine, and brakes;
 - ii) adverse surface conditions like standing water and friction coefficient;
 - iii) loss of the turn pad visual guidance (markings and lights covered by snow or inadequately maintained); and
 - iv) Human Factors, including incorrect application of the 180-degree procedure that is; nose- wheel steering, asymmetric thrust and differential breaking.

Potential solutions

- d) The ground maneuvering capabilities available from aircraft manufacturers are one of the key factors to be considered in order to determine whether an existing turn pad is suitable for a particular


This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 19 of 51

aeroplane. The speed of the manoeuvring aeroplane is also a factor.

- e) For a specific aeroplane, it may be permissible to operate on a runway turn pad not provided in accordance with Civil Aviation (Aerodromes Designs and Operations) Regulations, specifications, considering:
 - i) the specific ground manoeuvring capability of the specific aeroplane (notably the maximum effective steering angle of the nose landing gear);
 - ii) the provision for adequate clearances;
 - iii) the provision for appropriate marking and lighting;
 - iv) the provision of shoulders;
 - v) the protection from jet blast; and
 - vi) if relevant, protection of the ILS.
- f) In this case, the turn pad can have a different shape since objective is to enable the aeroplane to align on the runway while losing the least runway length as possible and the aeroplane is supposed to taxi at slow speed.

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------


	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 20 of 51

7.2 RUNWAY STRIPS

7.2.1 Runway strip dimensions

- a) A runway strip is an area enclosing a runway and any associated stopway and its purpose is to:
 - i) reduce the risk of damage to an aeroplane running off the runway by providing a cleared and graded area which meets specific longitudinal and transverse slopes, and bearing strength requirements; and
 - ii) protect an aeroplane flying over it during landing, bailed landing or take-off by providing an area which is cleared of obstacles, except for permitted aids to air navigation.
- b) Particularly, the graded portion of the runway strip is provided to minimize the damage to an aeroplane in the event of a veer-off during a landing or take-off operation and it is for this reason that objects should be located away from this portion of the runway strip unless they are needed for air navigation purposes and are frangible mounted.
- c) Where the requirements on runway strips cannot be achieved, the available distances, the nature and location of any hazard beyond the available runway strip, the type of aeroplane and the level of traffic at the aerodrome should be reviewed. Operational restrictions may be applied to the type of approach and low visibility operations that fit the available ground dimensions, while also taking into account:
 - i) runway excursion history;
 - ii) friction and drainage characteristics of the runway;
 - iii) runway width, length and transverse slopes;
 - iv) navigation and visual aids available;
 - v) relevance in respect of take-off or aborted take-off and landing;

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------


	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 21 of 51

- vi) scope for procedural mitigation measures; and
- vii) accident report.
- d) An analysis of lateral runway excursion reports shows that the causal factor in aeroplane accidents or incidents is not the same for take-off and for landing. Therefore, take-off and landing events may need to be considered separately.
- e) Lateral deviation from the runway centre line during a balked landing with the use of the digital autopilot as well as manual flight with a flight director for guidance have shown that the risk associated with the deviation of specific aircraft is contained within the OFZ.
- f) The lateral runway excursion hazard is clearly linked to specific aeroplane characteristics, performance and handling qualities and controllability in response to such events as aeroplane mechanical failures, pavement contamination and crosswind conditions. This type of hazard comes under the category for which risk assessment is mainly based on flight crew or aeroplane performance and handling qualities. Certified limitations of the specific aeroplane is one of the key factors to be considered in order to ensure that this hazard is under control.

Potential solutions

- a) Potential solutions can be developed by applying the following measures, alone or in combination with other measures. The following list is not in any particular order and is not exhaustive:
 - i) improving runway surface conditions and the means of recording and indicating rectification action, particularly for contaminated runways, having knowledge of runways and their condition and characteristics in precipitation;

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------


	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 22 of 51

- ii) ensuring that accurate and up-to-date meteorological information is available and that information on runway conditions and characteristics is passed to flight crews in a timely manner, particularly when flight crews need to make operational adjustments;
- iii) improving the aerodrome operator’s knowledge of recording, prediction and dissemination of wind data, including wind shear, and any other relevant meteorological information, particularly when it is a significant feature of an aerodrome’s climatology;
- iv) upgrading the visual and instrument landing aids to improve the accuracy of aeroplane delivery at the correct landing position on runways; and
- v) in consultation with aeroplane operators, formulating any other relevant aerodrome operating procedures or restrictions and promulgating such information appropriately.

7.2.2 Obstacles on runway strips

- a) An object located on a runway strip which may endanger aircraft is regarded as an obstacle, according to the definition of “obstacle” and should be removed, as far as practicable. Obstacles may be either naturally occurring or deliberately provided for the purpose of air navigation.
- b) An obstacle on the runway strip may represent either:
 - i) a collision risk for an aeroplane in flight or for an aeroplane on the ground that has veered off the runway; and
 - ii) a source of interference to navigation aids.
- c) Potential solutions can be developed by applying the following measures, alone or in combination with other measures. The following list is not in any particular order and is not exhaustive:

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 23 of 51


- i) a natural obstacle should be removed or reduced in size wherever possible; alternatively, grading of the area allows reduction of the severity of damage to the aeroplane;
- ii) other fixed obstacles should be removed unless they are necessary for air navigation, in which case they should be frangible and should be so constructed as to minimize the severity of damage to the aeroplane;
- iii) an aeroplane considered to be a moving obstacle within the runway strip should respect the requirement on the sensitive areas installed to protect the integrity of the ILS and should be subject to a separate safety assessment; and
- iv) visual and instrument landing aids may be upgraded to improve the accuracy of aeroplane delivery at the correct landing position on runways, and in consultation with aeroplane operators, any other relevant aerodrome operating procedures or restrictions may be formulated and such information promulgated appropriately.

7.3 RUNWAY END SAFETY AREA (RESA)

7.3.1 A RESA is primarily intended to reduce the risk of damage to an aeroplane undershooting or overrunning the runway. Consequently, a RESA will enable an aeroplane overrunning to decelerate, and an aircraft undershooting to continue its landing.

7.3.2 Identification of specific issues related to runway overruns and undershoots is complex. There are a number of variables that have to be taken into account, such as prevailing meteorological conditions, the type of aeroplane, the load factor, the available landing aids, runway characteristics, the overall environment, as well as Human Factors.

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 24 of 51


7.3.3 When reviewing the RESA, the following aspects have to be taken into account:

- d) the nature and location of any hazard beyond the runway end;
- e) the topography and obstruction environment beyond the RESA;
- f) the type of aircraft and level of traffic at the aerodrome and actual or proposed changes to either;
- g) overrun and undershoot causal factors;
- h) friction and drainage characteristics of the runway which have an impact on runway susceptibility to surface contamination and aeroplane braking action;
- i) navigation and visual aids available;
- j) type of approach;
- k) runway length and slope, in particular, the general operating length required for take-off and landing versus the runway distances available, including the excess of available length over that required;
- l) the location of the taxiways and runways;
- m) aerodrome climatology, including predominant wind speed and direction and likelihood of wind shear; and
- n) aerodrome overrun/undershoot and veer-off history.

7.3.4 Potential solutions can be developed by applying the following measures, alone or in combination with other measures. The following list is not in any particular order and is not exhaustive:

- a) restricting the operations during adverse hazardous meteorological conditions such as thunderstorms;
- b) defining, in cooperation with aeroplane operators, hazardous


This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 25 of 51

meteorological conditions and other factors relevant to aerodrome operating procedures and publishing such information appropriately;

- c) improving an aerodrome’s database of operational data, detection of wind data, including wind shear and other relevant meteorological information, particularly when it is a significant change from an aerodrome’s climatology;
- d) ensuring that accurate and up-to-date meteorological information, current runway conditions and other characteristics are detected and notified to flight crews in time, particularly when flight crews need to make operational adjustments;
- e) improving runway surfaces in a timely manner and the means of recording and indicating necessary action for runway improvement and maintenance e.g. friction measurement and drainage system, particularly when the runway is contaminated;
- f) removing rubber build-up on runways according to a scheduled time frame;
- g) repainting faded runway markings and replacing inoperative runway surface lighting identified during daily runway inspections;
- h) upgrading visual and instrument landing aids to improve the accuracy of aeroplane delivery at the correct landing position on runways including the provision of ILS;
- i) reducing declared runway distances in order to provide the necessary RESA;
- j) installing suitably positioned and designed arresting systems as a supplement or as an alternative to standard RESA dimensions when necessary;
- k) increasing the length of a RESA and minimizing the potential

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 26 of 51

obstruction in the area beyond the RESA; and

- l) publishing provisions, including the provision of an arresting system, in the AIP.

7.4 TAXIWAYS

7.4.1 Taxiways are provided to permit the safe and expeditious surface movement of aircraft.

7.4.2 A sufficiently wide taxiway permits smooth traffic flow while facilitating aircraft ground steering.

7.4.3 Causes and accident factors can include:


- a) mechanical failure that is hydraulic system, brakes, nose-gear steering;
- b) adverse surface conditions including; standing water and friction coefficient;
- c) loss of the taxiway centre line visual guidance markings and lights inadequately maintained;
- d) Human Factors including directional control, orientation error, pre-departure workload; and
- e) aeroplane taxi speed.

7.4.4 Pilot precision and attention are key issues since they are heavily related to the margin between the outer main gear wheel and the taxiway edge.

7.4.5 Compatibility studies related to taxiway width and potential deviations may include:

- a) the use of taxiway deviation statistics to calculate the taxiway excursion probability of an aeroplane depending on taxiway width. The impact of

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 27 of 51

taxiway guidance systems and meteorological and surface conditions on taxiway excursion probability should be assessed whenever possible;


- b) view of the taxiway from the cockpit, taking into account the visual reference cockpit cut-off angle and pilot eye height; and
- c) the aeroplane outer main gear wheel span.

7.4.6 Potential solutions can be developed by applying the following measures, alone or in combination with other measures. The following list is not in any particular order and is not exhaustive:

- a) the provision of taxiway centre line lights;
- b) conspicuous centre line marking;
- c) the provision of on-board taxi camera systems to assist taxi guidance;
- d) reduced taxi speed;
- e) the provision of taxi side-stripe markings;
- f) inset or elevated taxiway edge lights;
- g) reduced wheel-to-edge clearance, using taxiway deviation data;
- h) the use of alternative taxi routes; and
- i) the use of marshaller services - follow-me guidance.

7.4.7 Location and specifications for taxiway signs should be considered due to the engine location as well as the increased thrust in the aeroplane engines.

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 28 of 51

7.5 TAXIWAY CURVES


Civil Aviation (Aerodromes Designs and Operations) Regulations, contain provisions on taxiway curves.

- 7.5.1 Any hazard will be the result of a lateral taxiway excursion on a curved section.
- 7.5.2 The main causes and accident factors are the same as for a taxiway excursion on a straight taxiway section. The use of the cockpit-over-centreline steering technique on a curved taxiway will result in track-in of the main landing gear from the centre line. The amount of track-in depends on the radius of the curved taxiway and the distance from the cockpit to the main landing gear.
- 7.5.3 The consequences are the same as for lateral taxiway excursions on straight sections.
- 7.5.4 The required width of the curved portions of taxiways is related to the clearance between the outer main wheel and the taxiway edge on the inner curve. The hazard is related to the combination of the outer main gear wheel span and the distance between the nose gear/cockpit and the main gear. Consideration should be given to the effect on airfield signs and other objects nearby of jet blast from a turning aeroplane.
- 7.5.5 Certain aircraft may require wider fillets on curved sections or taxiway junctions.

Potential solutions

- 7.5.6 Potential solutions can be developed by applying the following measures, alone or in combination with other measures. The following list is not in any particular order and is not exhaustive:
 - a) the widening of existing fillets or the provision of new fillets;

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 29 of 51

- b) reduced taxi speed;
- c) the provision of taxiway centre line lights, taxi side-stripe markings and inset taxiway edge lights;
- d) reduced wheel-to-edge clearance, using taxiway deviation data;
- e) pilot judgmental oversteering; and
- f) publication of provisions in the appropriate aeronautical documentation.

7.5.7 Special attention should be given to the offset of centre line lights in relation to centre line markings.

7.5.8 Location and specifications for taxiway signs should be considered due to the increase in the size of aircraft as well as the increased thrust in aeroplane engines.


7.6 RUNWAY AND TAXIWAY MINIMUM SEPARATION DISTANCES

7.6.1 A minimum distance is provided between the centre line of a runway and the centre line of the associated parallel taxiway for instrument runways and non-instrument runways.

7.6.2 The potential issues associated with runway and parallel taxiway separation distances are:

- a) the possible collision between an aeroplane running off a taxiway and an object whether fixed or mobile, on the aerodrome;
- b) the possible collision between an aeroplane leaving the runway and an object whether fixed or mobile on the aerodrome or the risk of a collision of an aeroplane on the taxiway that infringes on the runway strip; and

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 30 of 51

c) possible ILS signal interference due to a taxiing or stopped aeroplane.


7.6.3 Causes and accident factors can include:

- a) Human Factors by crew and ATS;
- b) hazardous meteorological conditions such as thunderstorms and wind shear;
- c) aeroplane mechanical failure such as engine, hydraulic system, flight instruments, control surfaces and autopilot;
- d) surface conditions, that is; standing water and friction coefficient;
- e) lateral veer-off distance;
- f) aeroplane position relative to navigation aids, especially ILS; and
- g) aeroplane size and characteristics, especially wingspan.

7.6.4 Potential solutions can be developed by applying the following measures, alone or in combination with other measures. The following list is not in any particular order and is not exhaustive:

- a) place a restriction on the wingspan of aircraft using the parallel taxiway or on the runway, if continued unrestricted taxiway or runway operation is desired;
- b) consider the most demanding length of aeroplane that can have an impact on runway/taxiway separation and the location of holding positions;
- c) change taxiway routing so that the required runway airspace is free of taxiing aircraft; and
- d) employ tactical control of aerodrome movements.

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------


	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 31 of 51

7.7 TAXIWAY AND TAXILANE MINIMUM SEPARATION DISTANCES

Taxiway to object separation

- 7.7.1 The taxiway minimum separation distances provide an area clear of objects that may endanger an aeroplane.
- 7.7.2 The separation distances during taxiing are intended to minimize the risk of a collision between an aeroplane and an object; that is taxiway-object separation, taxiplane-object separation.
- 7.7.3 Taxiway deviation statistics can be used to assess the risk of a collision between two aircraft or between an aeroplane and an object.
- 7.7.4 The causes and accident factors can include:
- a) mechanical failure including; hydraulic system, brakes and nose-gear steering;
 - b) surface conditions including standing water and friction coefficient;
 - c) loss of the visual taxiway guidance system; and
 - d) Human Factors including directional control, temporary loss of orientation resulting in aircraft being incorrectly positioned, etc.
- 7.7.5 Potential solutions can be developed by applying the following measures, alone or in combination with other measures;
- a) the use of reduced taxiing speed;
 - b) the provision of taxiway centre line lights;
 - c) the provision of taxi side-stripe markings and inset taxiway edge lights;
 - d) the provision of special taxi routing for larger aircraft;

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------


	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 32 of 51

- e) restrictions on aircraft wingspan allowed to use parallel taxiways during the operation of a specific aeroplane;
- f) restrictions on vehicles using service roads adjacent to a designated aeroplane taxi route;
- g) the use of “follow-me” guidance;
- h) the provision of reduced spacing between taxiway centre line lights; and
- i) the provision of straightforward taxiway naming and ground routings with respect to the hazard of taxiway veer-offs.

Parallel taxiway separation

- 7.7.6 The minimum separation distance is equal to the wingspan plus maximum lateral deviation plus increment.
- 7.7.7 If the minimum required distance between the centre lines of two parallel taxiways is not provided, it is permissible to operate with lower separation distances at an existing aerodrome if a compatibility study, which may include a safety assessment, indicates that such lower separation distances would not adversely affect the safety or significantly affect the regularity of aeroplane operations.
- 7.7.8 The potential issues associated with parallel taxiway separation distances are:
- a) the probable collision between an aeroplane running off a taxiway and an object, aeroplane on parallel taxiway; and
 - b) an aeroplane running off the taxiway and infringing the opposite taxiway strip. Causes and accident factors can include:
 - c) Human Factors by crew and ATS;

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------


	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 33 of 51

- d) hazardous meteorological conditions such as reduced visibility;
- e) aeroplane mechanical failure such as engine, hydraulic system, flight instruments, control surfaces, autopilot;
- f) surface conditions like standing water and friction coefficient;
- g) lateral veer-off distance; and
- h) aeroplane size and characteristics, especially wingspan.

7.7.9 Potential solutions Potential solutions can be developed by providing the following facilities, alone or in combination with other measures;

- a) place a restriction on the wingspan of aircraft using the parallel taxiway if continued unrestricted taxiway operation is desired;
- b) consider the most demanding length of aeroplane that can have an impact on a curved taxiway section;
- c) change taxiway routing;
- d) employ tactical control of aerodrome movements;
- e) use of reduced taxiing speed;
- f) provision of taxiway centre line lights;
- g) provision of taxi side-stripe markings and inset taxiway edge lights;
- h) use of “follow-me” guidance;
- i) provision of reduced spacing between taxiway centre line lights; and
- j) provision of straightforward taxiway naming and ground routings with respect to the hazard of taxiway veer-offs.

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 34 of 51

7.8 TAXIWAYS ON BRIDGES

7.8.1 The width of that portion of a taxiway bridge capable of supporting aircraft, as measured perpendicularly to the taxiway centre line, is normally not less than the width of the graded area of the strip provided for that taxiway, unless a proven method of lateral restraint is provided which is not hazardous for aircraft for which the taxiway is intended.

7.8.2 Access is to be provided for RFF vehicles to intervene, in both directions within the specified response time, with the largest aeroplane for which the taxiway is intended


7.8.3 If aeroplane engines overhang the bridge structure, it may be necessary to protect the adjacent areas, below the bridge, from engine blast.

7.8.4 The following hazards are related to the width of taxiway bridges:

- a) landing gear leaving the load-bearing surface;
- b) deployment of an escape slide beyond the bridge, in case of an emergency evacuation;
- c) lack of manoeuvring space for RFF vehicles around the aeroplane;
- d) jet blast to vehicles, objects or personnel below the bridge;
- e) structural damage to the bridge due to the aeroplane mass exceeding the bridge design load; and
- f) damage to the aeroplane due to insufficient clearance of engines, wings or fuselage from bridge rails, lights or signs.

7.8.5 The causes and accident factors can include:

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 35 of 51


- a) mechanical failure including; hydraulic system, brakes and nose-gear steering;
- b) surface conditions including; standing water and friction coefficient;
- c) loss of the visual taxiway guidance system;
- d) Human Factors; i.e directional control, disorientation and pilot's workload;
- e) the position of the extremity of the escape slides; and
- f) undercarriage design.
- g) The main causes of and accident factors for jet blast effect below the bridge are:
- h) powerplant characteristics including; engine height, location and power;
- i) bridge blast protection width; and
- j) taxiway centre line deviation factors.

7.8.6 In addition to Safety Assessments for Aerodromes, hazard prevention mechanisms should be based on the critical dimensions of the aeroplane in relation to the bridge width.

7.8.7 Potential solutions can be developed by applying the following measures, alone or in combination with other measures. The following list is not in any particular order and is not exhaustive:

- a) where feasible, strengthen existing bridges;
- b) provide a proven method of lateral restraint to prevent the aeroplane from veering off the full bearing strength of the taxiway bridge;
- c) provide an alternative path/bridge for RFF vehicles or implement

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 36 of 51

emergency procedures to taxi the aeroplane away from such taxi bridges;

d) implement jet blast procedures to reduce the effects of jet blast on the undercroft; and

e) use the vertical clearance provided by high wings.

7.8.8 The RFF vehicles need to have access to both sides of the aeroplane to fight any fire from the best position, allowing for wind direction as necessary. In case the wingspan of the considered aeroplane exceeds the width of the bridge, another bridge nearby can be used for access to the “other” side of an aeroplane rather than an increased bridge width; in this case the surface of the bypass routes are at least stabilized where it is unpaved.

7.8.9 The protection from jet blast of vehicular traffic under or near the bridge is to be studied, consistent with the overall width of the taxiway and its shoulders.


7.8.10 The bridge width should be compatible with the deployment of escape slides. If this is not the case, a safe and quick escape route should be ensured.

7.9 TAXIWAY SHOULDERS

7.9.1 Taxiway shoulders are intended to protect an aeroplane operating on the taxiway from FOD ingestion and to reduce the risk of damage to an aeroplane running off the taxiway.

7.9.2 The taxiway shoulder dimensions are based on current information regarding the width of the inner engine exhaust plume for breakaway thrust. Furthermore, the surface of taxiway shoulders is prepared so as to resist erosion and ingestion of the surface material by aeroplane engines.

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 37 of 51


7.9.3 The factors leading to reported issues are:

- a) powerplant characteristics including engine height, location and power;
- b) taxiway shoulder width, the nature of the surface and its treatment; and
- c) taxiway centre line deviation factors, both from the expected minor wander from tracking error and the effect of main gear track-in in the turn area while using the cockpit-over-centre line- steering technique.

7.9.4 Potential solutions can be developed by applying the following measures, alone or in combination with other measures. The following list is not in any particular order and is not exhaustive:

- a) *Excursion on the taxiway shoulder.* The thickness and composition of shoulder pavements should be such as to withstand the occasional passage of the aeroplane operating at the aerodrome that has the most demanding impact on pavement loading, as well as the full load of the most demanding aerodrome emergency vehicle. The impact of an aeroplane on pavements should be assessed and, if required, existing taxiway shoulders, if allowed to be used by these heavier aircraft, may need to be strengthened by providing a suitable overlay.
- b) *Jet blast.* Information on engine position and jet blast velocity contour at breakaway thrust mode is used to assess jet blast protection requirements during taxiing operations. A lateral deviation from the taxiway centre line should be taken into account, particularly in the case of a curved taxiway and the use of the cockpit-over- centre-line steering technique. The effect of jet blast can also be managed by the use of thrust management of the engines, in particular for four-engine aircraft.
- c) *RFF vehicles.* Operational experience with current aircraft on existing taxiways suggests that a compliant overall width of the taxiway and its shoulders permits the intervention of aircraft by occasional RFF vehicle traffic.

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 38 of 51

7.10 CLEARANCE DISTANCE ON AIRCRAFT STANDS

The Civil Aviation (Aerodromes Designs and Operations) Regulations provide the minimum distance between an aeroplane using the stand and an obstacle.

7.10.1 The possible reasons for collision between an aeroplane and an obstacle on the apron or holding bay can be listed as:


- a) mechanical failure e.g. hydraulic system, brakes, nose-gear steering;
- b) surface conditions e.g. standing water, friction coefficient;
- c) loss of the visual taxi guidance system; and
- d) Human Factors like directional control and orientation error.

7.10.2 The probability of a collision during taxiing depends more on Human Factors than on aeroplane performance. Unless technical failure occurs, aircraft will respond reliably to directional inputs from the pilot when taxiing at the usual ground speed. Nevertheless, caution should be exercised with regard to the impact of aircraft with larger wingspans.

7.10.3 Potential solutions can be developed by applying the following measures, alone or in combination with other measures. The following list is not in any particular order and is not exhaustive:

- a) appropriate condition of marking and signage;
- b) apron stand lead-in lights;
- c) azimuth guidance as a visual docking system;
- d) appropriate training of operating and ground personnel should be ensured by an aerodrome operator;
- e) operational restrictions e.g. adequate clearances before and behind

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 39 of 51


parked or holding aircraft due to the increased length of aircraft;

- f) temporarily downgraded adjacent aircraft stands;
- g) towing the aeroplane on or from the stand;
- h) use of remote/cargo stands or “roll-through” parking positions for handling the aircraft;
- i) publication of procedures in the appropriate aeronautical documentation i.e. closing or rerouting of taxilanes behind parked aircraft;
- j) advanced visual guidance system;
- k) marshaller guidance;
- l) enhancing apron lighting levels in low visibility conditions; and
- m) use of the vertical clearances provided by high wings.

8. PAVEMENT DESIGN

- 8.1.1 To facilitate flight planning, various aerodrome data are required to be published, such as data concerning the strength of pavements, which is one of the factors required to assess whether the aerodrome can be used by an aeroplane of a specific all-up mass.
- 8.1.2 The increased mass and/or gear load of the aircraft may require additional pavement support. Existing pavements and their maintenance will be evaluated for adequacy due to differences in wheel loading, tire pressure, and undercarriage design. Bridge, tunnel and culvert load-bearing capacities are a limiting factor, requiring some operational procedures.
- 8.1.3 Potential solutions can be developed by applying the following measures, alone or in combination with other measures. The following list is not in any particular order and is not exhaustive:

This is a controlled document	Issued on: 2 December 2024
-------------------------------	-------------------------------

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No: TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 40 of 51

- a) restrictions on aircraft with higher ACN on specific taxiways, runway bridges or aprons; or
- b) adoption of adequate pavement maintenance programmes.

9. 8.0 CONTACTS

Further guidance and inquiries may be directed to:

Director Safety Regulation,
Tanzania Civil Aviation Authority,

Appendix

SELECTED AEROPLANE CHARACTERISTICS

Data are provided for convenience and are subject to change. Should be used only as a guide. Accurate data may be obtained from the aircraft manufacturer's documentation.

Many aeroplane types have optional weights and different engine models and engine thrusts; therefore, pavement aspects and reference field lengths will vary, in some cases enough to change the aeroplane category.

Reference field length must not be used for the design of aerodrome runway length, as the required length will vary depending on various factors such as aerodrome elevation, reference temperature and runway slope.

Aircraft model	Take-off weight (kg)	Aerodrome Reference Code	Reference field length (m)*	Wingspan (m)	Outer main gear wheel span (m)	Nose gear to main gear distance (wheel)	Cockpit to main gear distance (m)	Fuselage length (m)	Overall (maximum) length (m)	Maximum tail height (m)	Approach speed (1.3xV)	Maximum evacuation slide length (m)
AIRBUS A318-100	68000	3C	1 789	34.1	8.9	10.3	15.3	31.5	31.5	12.9	124	7.2
A319-100	75500	4C	1 800	34.1	8.9	11.4	16.5	33.5	33.5	12.2	128	7.2
A320-200	77000	4C	2 025	34.1	8.9	12.6	17.7	37.6	37.6	12.2	136	7.5
A321-200	93500	4C	2 533	34.1	8.9	16.9	22.0	44.5	44.5	12.1	142	6.2
A300B4-200	165000	4D	2 727	44.8	11.1	18.6	25.3	53.2	54.1	16.7	137	9.0
A300-600R	170500	4D	2 279	44.8	11.1	18.6	25.3	53.2	54.1	16.7	135	9.0
A310-300	164000	4D	2 350	43.9	11.0	15.2	21.9	45.9	46.7	16.0	139	6.9
A330-200	233000	4E	2 479	60.3	12.6	22.2	28.9	57.3	58.4	18.2	136	11.5
A330-300	233000	4E	2 490	60.3	12.6	25.4	32.0	62.6	63.7	17.2	137	11.5
A340-200	275000	4E	2 906	60.3	12.6	22.2	28.9	58.3	59.4	17.0	136	11.0

Aircraft model	Take-off weight (kg)	Aerodrome Reference Code	Reference field length (m)*	Wingspan (m)	Outer main gear wheel span (m)	Nose gear to main gear distance (wheel)	Cockpit to main gear distance (m)	Fuselage length (m)	Overall (maxim um) length (m)	Maxim um tail height (m)	Appro ach speed (1.3xV)	Maxim um evacuation slide length (m)
A340-300	276500	4E	2 993	60.3	12.6	25.4	32.0	62.6	63.7	17.0	139	11.0
A340-500	380000	4E	3 023	63.4	12.6	28.0	34.5	66.0	67.9	17.5	142	10.9
A340-600	380000	4E	2 864	63.4	12.6	33.1	39.8	73.5	75.4	17.9	148	10.5
A380-800	560000	4F	2 779	79.8	14.3	29.7	36.4	70.4	72.7	24.4	138	15.2
ANTONOV An-2	5 500	1B	500	18.2	3.4	8.3	-0.6	12.7	12.4	4.1	62	
An-3	5800	1B	390	18.2	3.5	8.3	-0.6	14.0	13.9	4.9	65	
An-28	6500	1B	585	22.1	3.4	4.4	3.1	12.7	13.1	4.9	89	
An-38-100	9500	2B	965	22.1	3.4	6.2	4.9	15.3	15.7	5.5	108	
An-38-200	9930	2B	1125	22.1	3.4	6.2	4.9	15.3	15.7	5.5	119	
An-24	21000	3C	1350	29.2	7.9	7.9	7.6	23.8	23.8	8.6	119	
Aircraft model	Take- off weight (kg)	Aerodrome Reference Code	Reference field length (m)*	Wingspan (m)	Outer main gear wheel span (m)	Nose gear to main gear distance (wheel)	Cockpit to main gear distance (m)	Fuselage length (m)	Overall (maxim um) length (m)	Maxim um tail height (m)	Appro ach speed (1.3xV)	Maximum evacuation slide length (m)
An-24PB	22 500	3C	1 600	29.2	7.9	7.9	7.6	23.8	23.8	8.6	119	
An-30	22 100	3C	1 550	29.2	7.9	7.4	7.6	24.3	24.3	8.6	113	
An-32	27 000	3C	1 600	29.2	7.9	7.9	7.6	23.7	23.7	8.8	124	
An-72	31 200	3C	1 250	31.9	4.1	8.0	8.5	28.1	28.1	8.7	108	



TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY
AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS

Revision: 2

Document No:
TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027

**PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY
 STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW
 TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE
 AERODROME**

Page 43 of 51

Aircraft model	Take-off weight (kg)	Aerodrome Reference Code	Reference field length (m)*	Wingspan (m)	Outer main gear wheel span (m)	Nose gear to main gear distance (wheel)	Cockpit to main gear distance (m)	Fuselage length (m)	Overall (maxim um) length (m)	Maxim um tail height (m)	Appro ach speed (1.3xV)	Maximum evacuation slide length (m)
An-148-100A	38 950	3C	1 740	28.9	4.6	10.6	10.6	26.1	29.1	8.2	124	
An-70	139000	3D	1 610	44.1	5.9	14.0	14.9	39.7	40.6	16.4	151	
An-26	24 000	4C	1 850	29.2	7.9	7.7	7.6	23.8	23.8	8.8	124	
An-26B	25 000	4C	2 200	29.2	7.9	7.7	7.6	23.8	23.8	8.8	124	
An-32B-100	28 500	4C	2 080	29.2	7.9	7.9	7.6	23.7	23.7	8.8	127	
An-74	34 800	4C	1 920	31.9	4.1	8.0	8.5	28.1	28.1	8.7	108	
An-74TK-100	36 500	4C	1 920	31.9	4.1	8.0	8.5	28.1	28.1	8.8	108	
An-74T-200	36 500	4C	2 130	31.9	4.1	8.0	8.5	28.1	28.1	8.8	108	
An-74TK-300	37 500	4C	2 200	31.9	4.1	8.0	8.5	28.1	28.1	8.7	116	
An-140	21 000	4C	1 880	24.5	3.7	8.1	7.8	21.6	22.6	8.2	124	
An-140-100	21 500	4C	1 970	25.5	3.7	8.1	7.8	21.6	22.6	8.2	124	
An-148-100B	41 950	4C	2 020	28.9	4.6	10.6	10.6	26.1	29.1	8.2	124	
An-148-100E	43 700	4C	2 060	28.9	4.6	10.6	10.6	26.1	29.1	8.2	124	
An-158***	43 700	4C	2 060	28.6	4.6	11.7	11.8	27.8	30.8	8.2	126	
An-168***	43 700	4C	2 060	28.9	4.6	10.6	10.6	26.1	29.1	8.2	124	
An-12	61 000	4D	1 900	38.0	5.4	9.6	11.1	33.1	33.1	10.5	151	
An-22	225000	4E	3 120	64.4	7.4	17.3	21.7	57.8	57.8	12.4	153	
An-124-100	392000	4F	3 000	73.3	9.0	22.8	25.6	69.1	69.1	21.1	154	
An-124-100M- 150 000	402 000	4F	3 200	73.3	9.0	22.8	25.6	69.1	69.1	21.1	160	
An-225	640000	4F	3 430	88.4	9.01	29.3	16.2	76.62	84.00	18.10	167	

This is a controlled document

Issued on:
 14 August 2024



TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY
AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS

Revision: 2

Document No:
TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027

**PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY
 STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW
 TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE
 AERODROME**

Page 44 of 51

Aircraft model	Take-off weight (kg)	Aerodrome Reference Code	Reference field length (m)*	Wingspan (m)	Outer main gear wheel span (m)	Nose gear to main gear distance (wheel)	Cockpit to main gear distance (m)	Fuselage length (m)	Overall (maxim um) length (m)	Maxim um tail height (m)	Appro ach speed (1.3xV)	Maximum evacuation slides length (m)
				0		0	7					
BOEING707-320C	152407	4D	3 079	44.4	8.0	18.0	20.9	44.4	46.6	13.0	137	6.6
717-200	54 885	3C	1 670	28.4	5.9	17.6	17.0	34.3	37.8	9.1	139	5.3
727-200	95 254	4C	3 176	32.9	7.1	19.3	21.4	41.5	46.7	10.6	136	6.1
727-200/W	95 254	4C	3 176	33.3* *	7.1	19.3	21.4	41.5	46.7	10.6	136	6.1
737-200	58 332	4C	2 295	28.4	6.4	11.4	13.0	29.5	30.5	11.2	133	5.8
737-300	62 823	4C	2 170	28.9	6.4	12.4	14.0	32.2	33.4	11.2	133	7.0
737-300/W	62 823	4C	2 550	31.2* *	6.4	12.4	14.0	32.2	33.4	11.2	133	7.0
737-400	68 039	4C	2 550	28.9	6.4	12.4	15.9	35.2	36.4	11.2	139	7.0
Aircraft model	Take-off weight (kg)	Aerodrome Reference Code	Reference field length (m)*	Wingspan (m)	Outer main gear wheel span (m)	Nose gear to main gear distance (wheel)	Cockpit to main gear distance (m)	Fuselage length (m)	Overall (maxim um) length (m)	Maxim um tail height (m)	Appro ach speed (1.3xV)	Maximum evacuation slides length (m)
737-500	60 555	4C	2 470	28.9	6.4	11.1	12.7	29.8	31.0	11.2	128	7.0

This is a controlled document

Issued on:
 14 August 2024



TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY
AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS

Revision: 2

Document No:
TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027

**PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY
 STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW
 TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE
 AERODROME**

Page 45 of 51

Aircraft model	Take-off weight (kg)	Aerodrome Reference Code	Reference field length (m)*	Wingspan (m)	Outer main gear wheel span (m)	Nose gear to main gear distance (wheel distance)	Cockpit to main gear distance (m)	Fuselage length (m)	Overall (maximum) length (m)	Maximum tail height (m)	Approach speed (1.3xV)	Maximum evacuation slides length (m)
737-500/W	60 555	4C	2 454	31.1* *	6.4	11.1	12.7	29.8	31.0	11.2	128	7.0
737-600	65 091	3C	1 690	34.3	7.0	11.2	12.8	29.8	31.2	12.7	125	7.0
737-600/W	65 544	3C	1 640	35.8* *	7.0	11.2	12.9	29.8	31.2	12.7	125	7.0
737-700	70 080	3C	1 600	34.3	7.0	12.6	14.2	32.2	33.6	12.7	130	7.0
737-700/W	70 080	3C	1 610	35.8* *	7.0	12.6	14.2	32.2	33.6	12.7	130	7.0
737-800	79 016	4C	2 090	34.3	7.0	15.6	17.2	38.0	39.5	12.6	142	7.0
737-800/W	79 016	4C	2 010	35.8* *	7.0	15.6	17.2	38.0	39.5	12.6	142	7.0
737-900	79 016	4C	2 240	34.3	7.0	17.2	18.8	40.7	42.1	12.6	141	7.0
737-900ER/W	84 912	4C	2 470	35.8* *	7.0	17.2	18.8	40.7	42.1	12.6	141	7.0
747-SP	318875	4E	2 710	59.6	12.4	20.5	22.9	53.9	56.3	20.1	140	14.3
747-100	341555	4E	3 060	59.6	12.4	25.6	28.0	68.6	70.4	19.6	144	11.8
747-200	379203	4E	3 150	59.6	12.4	25.6	28.0	68.6	70.4	19.6	150	11.8
747-300	379203	4E	3 292	59.6	12.4	25.6	28.0	68.6	70.4	19.6	152	14.3
747-400ER	414130	4E	3 094	64.9	12.6	25.6	27.9	68.6	70.7	19.6	157	14.3
747-400	396 893	4E	3 048	64.9	12.6	25.6	27.9	68.6	70.7	19.5	157	14.3

This is a controlled document

Issued on:
 14 August 2024



TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY
AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS

Revision: 2

Document No:
TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027

**PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY
 STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW
 TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE
 AERODROME**

Page 46 of 51

Aircraft model	Take-off weight (kg)	Aerodrome Reference Code	Reference field length (m)*	Wingspan (m)	Outer main gear wheel span (m)	Nose gear to main gear distance (wheel)	Cockpit to main gear distance (m)	Fuselage length (m)	Overall (maxim um) length (m)	Maxim um tail height (m)	Appro ach speed (1.3xV)	Maximum evacuation slides length (m)
747-8	442253	4F	3 070	68.4	12.7	29.7	32.0	74.2	78.0	19.2	150** *	15.7
747-8F	442253	4F	3 070	68.4	12.7	29.7	32.0	74.2	78.0	19.2	159** *	11.7
757-200	115666	4D	1 980	38.1	8.6	18.3	22.0	47.0	47.3	13.7	137	9.3
757-200/W	115666	4D	1 980	41.1* *	8.6	18.3	22.0	47.0	47.3	13.7	137	9.3
757-300	122470	4D	2 400	38.1	8.6	22.3	26.0	54.4	54.4	13.7	143	9.3
767-200	163747	4D	1 981	47.6	10.8	19.7	24.3	47.2	48.5	16.1	135	8.7
767-200ER	179623	4D	2 743	47.6	10.8	19.7	24.3	47.2	48.5	16.1	142	8.7
767-300	163747	4D	1 981	47.6	10.9	22.8	27.4	53.7	54.9	16.0	140	8.7
767-300ER	186880	4D	2 540	47.6	10.9	22.8	27.4	53.7	54.9	16.0	145	8.7
767-300ER/W	186880	4D	2 540	50.9* *	10.9	22.8	27.4	53.7	54.9	16.0	145	8.7
767-400ER	204117	4D	3 140	51.9	11.0	26.2	30.7	60.1	61.4	17.0	150	9.7
777-200	247208	4E	2 380	60.9	12.9	25.9	28.9	62.9	63.7	18.7	136	12.0
777-200ER	297557	4E	2 890	60.9	12.9	25.9	28.9	62.9	63.7	18.7	139	12.0
777-200LR	347815	4E	3 390	64.8	12.9	25.9	28.9	62.9	63.7	18.7	140	12.0
777-300	299371	4E	3 140	60.9	12.9	31.2	32.3	73.1	73.9	18.7	149	12.6
777-300ER	351 534	4E	3 060	64.8	12.9	31.2	32.3	73.1	73.9	18.8	149	12.6

This is a controlled document

Issued on:
 14 August 2024



TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY
AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS

Revision: 2

Document No:
TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027

**PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY
STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW
TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE
AERODROME**

Page 47 of 51

Aircraft model	Take-off weight (kg)	Aerodrome Reference Code	Reference field length (m)*	Wingspan (m)	Outer main gear wheel span (m)	Nose gear to main gear distance (wheel distance (m))	Cockpit to main gear distance (m)	Fuselage length (m)	Overall (maximum) length (m)	Maximum tail height (m)	Approach speed (1.3xV)	Maximum evacuation slides length (m)
777-9#	351534	4E/4F	****	64.8/71.8	12.8	32.3	36.0	75.2	76.7	19.7	****	12.6
787-8	219539	4E	2 660	60.1	11.6	22.8	25.5	55.9	56.7	16.9	140***	11.1
MD-81	64 410	4C	2 290	32.9	6.2	22.1	21.5	41.6	45.0	9.2	134	5.3
MD-82	67 812	4C	2 280	32.9	6.2	22.1	21.5	41.6	45.0	9.2	134	5.3
MD-83	72 575	4C	2 470	32.9	6.2	22.1	21.5	41.6	45.0	9.2	144	5.3
MD-87	67 812	4C	2 260	32.9	6.2	19.2	21.5	36.3	39.8	9.5	134	5.3
Aircraft model	Take-off weight (kg)	Aerodrome Reference Code	Reference field length (m)*	Wingspan (m)	Outer main gear wheel span (m)	Nose gear to main gear distance	Cockpit to main gear distance (m)	Fuselage length (m)	Overall (maximum) length (m)	Maximum tail height (m)	Approach speed (1.3xVs) (kt)	Maximum evacuation slide length (m)
MD-88	72 575	4C	2 470	32.9	6.2	22.1	21.5	41.6	45.0	9.2	144	5.3
MD-90	70 760	3C	1 800	32.9	6.2	23.5	22.9	43.0	46.5	9.5	138	5.3
MD-11	285990	4D	3 130	51.9/7	12.6	24.6	31.0	58.6	61.6	17.9	153	9.8
DC8-62	158757	4D	3 100	45.2	7.6	18.5	20.5	46.6	48.0	13.2	138	6.7
DC9-15	41 504	4C	1 990	27.3	6.0	13.3	12.7	28.1	31.8	8.4	132	5.3
DC9-20	45 813	3C	1 560	28.4	6.0	13.3	12.7	28.1	31.8	8.4	126	5.3

This is a controlled document

Issued on:
14 August 2024



TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY
AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS

Revision: 2

Document No:
TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027

**PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY
 STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW
 TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE
 AERODROME**

Page 48 of 51

DC9-50	55 338	4C	2 451	28.5	5.9	18.6	18.0	37.0	40.7	8.8	135	5.3
Bombardier CS100****	54 930	3C	1 509	35.1	8.0	12.9	13.7	34.9	34.9	11.5	127	
CS100 ER****	58 151	3C	1 509	35.1	8.0	12.9	13.7	34.9	34.9	11.5	127	
CS300****	59 783	4C	1 902	35.1	8.0	14.5	15.3	38.1	38.1	11.5	133	
CS300XT****	59 783	3C	1 661	35.1	8.0	14.5	15.3	38.1	38.1	11.5	133	
Aircraft model	Take- off weight (kg)	Aerodrome Reference Code	Reference field length (m)*	Wingspan (m)	Outer main gear wheel span (m)	Nose gear to main gear distance (wheel distance)	Cockp it to main gear distance (m)	Fuselage length (m)	Overall (maxim um) length (m)	Maxim um tail height (m)	Approach speed (1.3xVs) (kt)	Maxim um evacuation slide length (m)
CS300ER*** *	63 321	4C	1 890	35.1	8.0	14.5	15.3	38.1	38.1	11.5	133	
CRJ200ER	23 133	3B	1 680	21.2	4.0	11.4	10.8	24.4	26.8	6.3	140	
CRJ200R	24 040	4B	1 835	21.2	4.0	11.4	10.8	24.4	26.8	6.3	140	
CRJ700	32 999	3B	1 606	23.3	5.0	15.0	14.4	29.7	32.3	7.6	135	
CRJ700ER	34 019	3B	1 724	23.3	5.0	15.0	14.4	29.7	32.3	7.6	135	
CRJ700R****	34 927	4B	1 851	23.3	5.0	15.0	14.4	29.7	32.3	7.6	136	
CRJ900	36 514	3B	1 778	23.3	5.0	17.3	16.8	33.5	36.2	7.4	136	
CRJ900ER	37 421	4C	1 862	24.9	5.0	17.3	16.8	33.5	36.2	7.4	136	
CRJ900R	38 329	4C	1 954	24.9	5.0	17.3	16.8	33.5	36.2	7.4	137	
CRJ1000****	40 823	4C	1 996	26.2	5.1	18.8	18.3	36.2	39.1	7.5	138	
CRJ1000ER* ***	41 640	4C	2 079	26.2	5.1	18.8	18.3	36.2	39.1	7.5	138	
DHC-8-100	15 650	2C	890	25.9	7.9	8.0	6.1	20.8	22.3	7.5	101	

This is a controlled document

Issued on:
 14 August 2024



TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY
AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS

Revision: 2

Document No:
TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027

**PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY
 STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW
 TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE
 AERODROME**

Page **49** of **51**

DHC-8-200	16 465	2C	1 020	25.9	8.5	8.0	6.1	20.8	22.3	7.5	102	
DHC-8-300	18 643	2C	1 063	27.4	8.5	10.0	8.2	24.2	25.7	7.5	107	
DHC-8-400	27 987	3C	1 288	28.4	8.8	14.0	12.2	31.0	32.8	8.3	125	
Aircraft model	Take-off weight (kg)	Aerodrome Reference Code	Reference field length (m)*	Wingspan (m)	Outer main gear wheel span (m)	Nose gear to main gear distance	Cockpit to main gear distance (m)	Fuselage length (m)	Overall (maximum) length (m)	Maximum tail height (m)	Approach speed (1.3xV_{um})	Maximum evacuation slide length (m)
EMBRAER ERJ 170-100 STD	35 990	3C	1 439	26.0	6.2	10.6	11.5	29.9	29.9	9.7	124	
ERJ 170-100LR, SU and SE	37 200	3C	1 532	26.0	6.2	10.6	11.5	29.9	29.9	9.7	124	
ERJ 170-100 + SB170-00-0016	38 600	3C	1 644	26.0	6.2	10.6	11.5	29.9	29.9	9.7	125	
ERJ 170-200 STD	37 500	3C	1 562	26.0	6.2	11.4	12.3	31.7	31.7	9.7	126	
ER 170-200LR and SU	38 790	3C	1 667	26.0	6.2	11.4	12.3	31.7	31.7	9.7	126	
ERJ 170-200 + SB 170-00-0016	40 370	4C	2 244	26.0	6.2	11.4	12.3	31.7	31.7	9.7	126	
ERJ 190-100 STD	47 790	3C	1 476	28.7	7.1	13.8	14.8	36.3	36.3	10.6	124	



Aircraft model	Take-off weight (kg)	Aerodrome Reference Code	Reference field length (m)*	Wingspan (m)	Outer main gear	Nose gear to main gear	Cockpit to main gear	Fuselage length (m)	Overall (maximum) length (m)	Maximum tail height (m)	Approach speed (1.3xV)	Maximum evacuation slide length (m)
ERJ 190-100LR	50 300	3C	1 616	28.7	7.1	13.8	14.8	36.3	36.3	10.6	124	
ERJ 190-100 IGW	51 800	3C	1 704	28.7	7.1	13.8	14.8	36.3	36.3	10.6	125	
ERJ 190-200 STD	48 790	3C	1 597	28.7	7.1	14.6	15.6	38.7	38.7	10.5	126	
ERJ 190-200LR	50 790	3C	1 721	28.7	7.1	14.6	15.6	38.7	38.7	10.5	126	
ERJ 190-200 IGW	52 290	4C	1 818	28.7	7.1	14.6	15.6	38.7	38.7	10.5	128	

* Reference field length reflects the model/engine combination that provides the shortest field length and the standard conditions (maximum weight, sea level, std day, A/C off, runway dry with no slope).


** Span includes optional winglets.

***Preliminary data.

**** Preliminary data — aircraft not yet certified.

***** Longest deployed slide lengths, including upper deck slides, referenced from aircraft centre line as measured horizontally. Data are based primarily on aircraft rescue firefighting charts.

Aircraft with folding wing tips (FWT)

	TANZANIA CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY AERODROMES AND GROUND AIDS	Revision: 2
Document No. TCAA/QSP/SR/AC/AGA-027	PROCEDURE FOR COMPATIBILITY STUDY TO ASSESS IMPACT OF NEW TYPE/MODEL OF AIRCRAFT TO THE AERODROME	Page 51 of 51

MAXIMUM LENGTH OF EVACUATION SLIDES

Model	Deployed length (metres)
B737-600/-700/-800/-900	7.0
B747-100/-200 (upper deck)	11.8
B747-100/-200 (lower deck)	11.5
B747-300/-400 (upper deck)	14.3
B747-300/-400 (lower deck)	11.5
B757-200/-300	9.3
B767-200/-300	8.7
B767-400	9.7
B777-200/-200ER/-B200LR/-200F	12.0
B777-300/-300ER	12.6
A300-600	9.0
A310	6.9
A318	7.2
A319	7.2
A320	7.5
A321	6.2
A330-200/-300	11.5
A340-200/-300	11
A340-500	10.9
A340-600	10.5
A380	15.2



CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY

This is a controlled document	Issued 14 August 2025
-------------------------------	--------------------------